## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE WESTERN DIVISION

THOMAS M. GOULD

ARTICE JEAN RUFFIN, Plaintiff	)	CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COL WID OF THE MEMPHIS
v.	) Civil Action No. 05-2173-MI P	
JO ANNE B. BARNHART, Commissioner of Social Security	) ) )	
Defendant	)	

## ORDER OF REMAND

Pursuant to the power of this court to enter a judgment affirming, modifying, or reversing the Commissioner's decision with remand in Social Security actions under sentence four of section 205(g) of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), and in light of the Commissioner's motion to remand this action, this case is hereby remanded pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). Upon remand, the administrative law judge ("ALJ") will develop the record further with respect to how long Plaintiff's first husband had been absent before she married her second husband, and whether, under Tennessee state law, his absence dissolved Plaintiff's first marriage prior to her marrying her second husband, the deceased wage earner. In conducting his analysis, the ALJ is ordered to provide specific reasons for not accepting Plaintiff's testimony that she and her first husband had not been together since 1959. The reasons cited by the ALJ shall be supported by credible evidence on the record as a whole. Plaintiff's testimony conflicts with the ALJ's finding regarding the date she separated from her first husband. Several times during Plaintiff's testimony, she stated that in 1959, the same year she got married, she left Mississippi, where her first husband lived and moved to Tennessee. Also, Plaintiff stated that it was not true that her first marriage ended by separation on August 3, 1969. In fact, when

This document entered on the docket sheet in compliance with Rule 58 and/or 79(a) FRCP on 7-605



Plaintiff was asked if she and her first husband had a relationship after she left him in Mississippi in 1959, she testified that she never saw him and that she never tried to find out anything about him (Tr. 78, 85). Other than the one unsubstantiated statement contained in the Reconsideration Determination, the record does not contain any other information which suggests that Plaintiff did not separate from her first husband until 1969.

WHEREFORE, because the ALJ did not discount Plaintiff's testimony and because the source of the information in the Reconsideration Determination is unsubstantiated, IT IS

ORDERED that the ALJ develop the record further with respect to how long Plaintiff's first husband had been absent before she married her second husband. IT IS FURTHER

ORDERED that the ALJ provide specific reasons, which are substantiated by the record, for his finding regarding the date that Plaintiff separated from her first husband.

Based on the foregoing, this Court hereby enters a judgment reversing the decision of the ALJ and remanding this case to the Commissioner pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). See Melkonyan v. Sullivan, 501 U.S. 89, 111 S.Ct. 2157 (1991); Shalala v. Schaefer, 509 U.S. 292, 113 S.Ct. 2625 (1993). Upon remand, the Commissioner shall take action consistent with this order.

SO ORDERED this \_\_\_\_ day of

**\_,** 2005.

JON PHIPPS MCCALLA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Consented to and Approved By:

Joe A Dycus

Assistant United States Attorney 167 N. Main Street, 8th Floor Memphis, Tennessee 38018

(901) 544-4231

Andrew E. Bender

Attorney for Plaintiff 382 Washington Avenue

Memphis, Tennessee 38105

(901)

(2 m. 3) (4) Si 31



## **Notice of Distribution**

This notice confirms a copy of the document docketed as number 15 in case 2:05-CV-02173 was distributed by fax, mail, or direct printing on July 6, 2005 to the parties listed.

Joe A. Dycus U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 167 N. Main St. Ste. 800 Memphis, TN 38103

Andrew E. Bender LAW OFFICES OF ANDREW E. BENDER 382 Washington Ave. Memphis, TN 38105

Honorable Jon McCalla US DISTRICT COURT